

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,163	01/22/2001	Hideshi Mochizuki	1115.65144	2571
	7590 08/04/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR			ORTIZ CRIADO, JORGE L	
	25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II			2655	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/767,163	MOCHIZUKI ET AL.			
·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jorge L Ortiz-Criado	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely-filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	t extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the safter the mail to be later than three months after the mail to be later than three months.	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	pe allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	lered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims work	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an vor appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:		•			
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement					
10. ☐ Other:	· // · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
BEST AVAILA	BLE COPY PRI	W. R. YOUNG MARY EXAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has proposed changes to claim 9, by adding "wherein said intermittent braking decelerator intermittently operates said brake to decelerate the rotation of said information recording medium, and subsequently continuously operates the brake to further decelerate the rotation of the information recording medium", this changes raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that Kim does not disclose or suggest a first and second deceleration mode requiring a relatively large amount of power and relatively small amount of power, respectively and in which the determination of the mode for deceleration is made based on the power level

The Examiner cannot concur because Kim decelerates the information recording medium to a first or second mode, which consumes relative large power and small power, respectively. In the first mode the rotation of the recording medium is higher than the second rotation in the second deceleration mode. At higher rotation (first mode) the storage apparatus consumes more electric power needed to obtain the predetermined higher rotation, and at a lower rotation (second mode) the storage apparatus consumes less electric power needed to obtain the predetermined lower rotation. Kim discloses in which the determination of the mode for deceleration is made based on the power level the level of voltage in the battery or a power supply used.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case,

Kim discloses a driver for receiving a signal indicating a rotation speed, and

driving the information recording medium in said predetermined direction in such a manner that said information recording medium rotates at the rotation speed indicated by the signal; and

a signal controlling decelerator for inputting a signal indicating a rotation speed lower than the rotation speed of said information recording. Kim does not expressly disclose a brake for applying a brake force to said information recording medium, and subsequently operates said brake to further decelerate and stop the rotation of the information recording medium this feature is well known in the art as evidenced by Kühn, which discloses an information storage apparatus for holding an information recording medium in a predetermined position and rotating the information recording medium in a predetermined direction to perform at least an information reproduction with respect to the information recording medium comprising a brake for applying a brake force to said information recording medium to decelerate rotation and subsequently operates said brake to further decelerate and stop the rotation of the information recording medium and it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to decelerate the rotation of the information recording medium, including a brake for applying a brake force in order to quickly and reliably operate the driver as suggested by Kühn...